

Amendments to the Drawings:

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to Figure 2 and a new sheet showing Figures 4A and 4B.

The sheet including Figure 2 replaces the original sheet including Figure 2. Figure 2, now shows that line 140 is the same line of weakness as line 50 as shown in Figure 1.

The printing has been corrected to show true reverse printing.

Figures 4A and 4B have been added to clearly show the structure and operation described in the specification.

Application No. 10/691,263  
Tucker  
Reply to office action of October 19, 2006

REMARKS


Claims 21-24 are in the case.

The drawing deficiencies have been corrected in Fig. 2, and Figs. 4A and 4B have been added to more clearly show the structure and operation described in the specification. Applicant respectfully submits that these figures, as well as their description added to the specification, are not new matter because that material simply clarifies what was already present in the original specification.

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as now presented are clear of the art. Neither McKillip nor Kelly show a device in which a two-layer (contrast Fig. 3 of McKillip) arrangement so uses die cuts as to provide the means of using a single layer of adhesive and a single release liner to create an adhesive-backed label, a sealing adhesive ring and a window for viewing a message printed on the outside of the release liner while also providing (in conjunction with a shipping container) a pouch for sealingly containing easily removable documentation. All of this is uniquely possible with the claimed structure but not with those of the references (even if they could be combined, for which Applicant sees no reason or suggestion).

A Notice of Allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Harry G. Weissenberger  
Registration No. 18784

P.O. Box 938  
2401 Cooper Street  
Fort Scott, KS 66701